

**Ref:** 2024-097887 **Church:** Mavesyn Ridware: St Nicholas  
**Diocese:** Lichfield **Archdeaconry:** Lichfield  
**Created By:** Mr Paul Marriott (26/04/2024) **Contact Tel.:** 01543493592  
**Status:** Await applicant completion of the petition form

## Form 2

### Rule 4.5

#### Diocesan Advisory Committee Notification of Advice

**This notification constitutes advice only and does not give you permission to carry out the works or other proposals to which it relates. A faculty must be obtained from the Consistory Court before the works or proposals may lawfully be carried out.**

*The Committee should delete any parts of the form that are not applicable when completing it.*

#### **In the diocese of Lichfield**

#### **Parish of Mavesyn Ridware**

#### **Church of Mavesyn Ridware: St Nicholas**

The church is listed under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

The listing is grade I

In the exercise of the delegated authority of the Diocesan Advisory Committee on 27/06/2025

The following works or other proposals were considered:

Electrical rewiring of the Trinity Aisle and replacement of light fittings, rewiring of the nave ceiling lights and replacement of the main fuse board. In accordance with the quotation (no. 494) from Andrews Electrical Ltd dated 6th March 2024, and the associated email from Mark Parsons, QI Architect, dated 30th April 2024, within the submission documentation.

**The works or proposals should be described in the petition for a faculty and in the public notice in the same way as they are described here.**

The Committee does not object to the works or proposals being approved by the court subject to the following provisos:

[Reasons and Provisos] The Interim DAC Electrics Adviser and the Interim DAC Lighting Adviser consulted on the proposal under the delegated authority faculty procedure consider that the applicant's explanation of having had due regard to net zero guidance is adequate. The Interim DAC Electrics Adviser would Recommend the electrical works for approval, stating that 'the QI Architect is involved and this should help in ensuring the aesthetic aspects of the completed installation are satisfactory', whilst the Interim DAC Lighting Adviser would Not Recommend the lighting works for approval, stating that the proposal is 'using flood lights which are quite an industrial feel, but also these ones are 4000k. I would recommend they select something with a warmer colour rendering (around 3000k). Other than that I have no objections to the proposal'. It is therefore suggested that the Committee would Not Object to the overall proposal being approved, subject to the following provisos: • The Interim DAC Electrics Adviser has stated that 'The installation should follow the Church of England guidance on electrical installations' ([https://www.churchofengland.org/sites/default/files/2018-11/CCB\\_Electrical-wiring-installations-in-churches\\_Apr-2013.pdf](https://www.churchofengland.org/sites/default/files/2018-11/CCB_Electrical-wiring-installations-in-churches_Apr-2013.pdf)). • The Interim DAC Lighting Adviser has advised (as above) that less industrial fittings should be selected, with a warmer colour rendering (around 3000k). It is suggested, following the advice of the Interim DAC Electrics Adviser (above), that the QI Architect should assist the PCC in reconciling the aesthetic aspects of the (lighting) installation.

**This advice does not constitute authority for carrying out the works or proposals and a faculty is required.**

In the opinion of the Committee rule 9.9 of the Faculty Jurisdiction Rules (publication of notice on diocesan website) does not apply.

The works or proposals involve matters to which net zero guidance applies (that is, guidance issued by the Church Buildings Council under section 55 of the Dioceses, Pastoral and Mission Measure 2007 on reducing carbon emissions). In the opinion of the Committee, your explanation of how, in formulating the works or proposals, you have had due regard to net zero guidance is adequate.

In the opinion of the Committee the work or part of the work proposed is likely to affect -

the character of the church as a building of special architectural or historic interest ☐ Yes ☒ No

the archaeological importance of the church ☐ Yes ☒ No

archaeological remains existing within the church or its curtilage ☐ Yes ☒ No

The following have been consulted on the works or other proposals:

Historic England ☐ Yes ☒ No

the local planning authority ☐ Yes ☒ No

the following national amenity societies ☐ Yes ☒ No

the Church Buildings Council ☐ Yes ☒ No

the following body or person: ☐ Yes ☒ No

No objections have been raised by any of them.

This advice is valid for 24 months from the date given below.

Signed: Giles Standing Date: 30/06/2025

Secretary to the Diocesan Advisory Committee